

Date: Sun, 3 Oct 93 04:30:06 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #1174
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sun, 3 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 1174

Today's Topics:

ARRL/VEC holding my 610
FCC Preempts .../IL and headsets
Ni-Cad question?
YAESU

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 1993 14:51:15 GMT
From: spsgate!mogate!newsgate!news@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL/VEC holding my 610
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Oct1.010316.10507@pixar.com> bruce@pixar.com (Bruce Perens)
writes:

> ...
> This is in regard to my application to upgrade to Extra, which I filed about
> two weeks after I filed an application to upgrade to Advanced. I checked the
> box that said I had an application pending with the FCC, and ARRL apparently
> caught that and decided that they would hold the 610 until the Advanced
> upgrade came through, rather than have FCC hold it and perhaps drop it
> through
> a crack in the floor. I guess I can't fault them for zeal, they would not be
> doing this unless something had gone wrong in the past.
> ...

The FCC requires them to do this. The FCC will not accept more than one

application for an individual at a time.

Of course, I've often wondered what would happen if someone didn't check the box but had another 610 pending.

Congrats in the upgrade.

73... Mark AA7TA

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 1993 13:47:27 GMT
From: walter!att-out!cbnewst!waco@uunet.uu.net
Subject: FCC Preempts .../IL and headsets
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Sep30.103724.1@levy.fnal.gov> levy@levy.fnal.gov
(Mark E. Levy, ext. 8056) writes

*** stuff deleted ***

>Don't know about where you are, but in ILLinois headphones are OK as long as
>one ear is not covered. Assuming that both work (the ears, that is).

>

>--

>=====

>[Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory]
>=====		
>[Mark E. Levy, N9RXF]
>[BitNet: LEVY@FNAL	Unix is to computing]
>[Internet: LEVY@FNAL.GOV	as an Etch-a-Sketch is to art.]
>[HEPnet/SPAN: FNAL::LEVY (VMS!)]
>=====		

Just to clarify this. Headphones covering only one ear are allowed while driving in IL by hams ONLY if you have amateur license plates on your vehicle. Not having memorized the statute, a copy of which I have in my car, I would assume non-Illinois hams with callsign plates could legally use on-eared headsets while driving in IL.

Word of caution; it pays to have a copy of such statutes in case one gets stopped by the police. One of our amateur club members is a ham and also a lieutenant on a local city police department. He advised of the soundness of having a copy of the legislation as there is no "formal" procedure for police departments to be notified of all changes in the IL Vehicle Code. Sounds kind of strange to me, but that's the way it is.

73,

John, WB9VGJ

```
#####  
# John L. Broughton      snail mail: Room 1K-324      #  
# AT&T                  1200 E. Warrenville Rd.      #  
#                      P.O. Box 3045                #  
#                      Naperville, IL 60566-7045     #  
#                      (708) 713-4319                #  
#                      e-mail: john.l.broughton@att.com #  
#                      att!john.l.broughton          #  
#                      air mail (HF, VHF): WB9VGJ     #  
#####
```

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 1993 16:26:13 GMT
From: news.uiowa.edu!icaen.uiowa.edu!drenze@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Ni-Cad question?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm told that with Ni-Cads, it's necessary to let them periodically discharge all the way. How often is this? Once a week? Each charge? Is letting them discharge until my HT's "low battery" indicator is on 100% of the time (as opposed to just when I'm transmitting) sufficient?

--
__ /| | Douglas J Renze, N0YVW | Charter Member, Popular Front
'o.o' | +1 319 337 4664 | for Revolutionary Darwinism:
=(___)= | drenze@icaen.uiowa.edu |
U | Douglas-Renze@uiowa.edu | Evolution Now!

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 1993 14:55:48 GMT
From: spsgate!mogate!newsgate!news@uunet.uu.net
Subject: YAESU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <28gipi\$i4h@agate.berkeley.edu> lee@soda.berkeley.edu (Lee Thompson-Herbert) writes:

> ...
> Thank the Portuguese
> missionaries who first went to Japan for the atrocious spellings.
> ...
They had to go all the way to Japan for atrocious spellings???

Sorry...couldn't resist ;-).

Mark

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #1174
